Commission scenarios: overview



Source: UBA-Factsheet (Ecologic 2018) zur Vision "A clean planet for all" (EC 2018)



Commission scenarios: total GHG emissions



© Fraunhofer ISI Seite 2

Sectoral pathways: negative emissions

750

- With regard to negative emissions, the only CDR options considered are BECCS and DACCS.
 - other promising options such as biochar and enhanced weathering not considered
 - While 1.5TECH assumes ambitious carbon removal, 1.5LIFE assumes much lower carbon capture with minimal BECCS
 - Carbon capture and negative emissions used interchangeably → unclear how carbon is being accounted for in the model.
 - in view of other studies, underground storage potential relatively low

Cost for NETs given in ranges
Blldquelle: In-depth analysis zur Vision "A clean planet for all" (EC 2018) but model assumptions not clear
[©] Fraunhofer ISI
Seite 3 IDA does not say which industries use

CO₂ captured 500 250 MtCO₂ -250 -500 CO₂ stored or used -750 ELEC H2 P2X CIRC 1.5TECH 1.5LIFE 1.5LIFE-LB Fossil Fuel Direct Air Biomass Underground Storage Synthetic Fuels Synthetic material

CCS ELEC H2 CIRC COMBO 1.5TECH 1.5LIFE 1.5LIFE-LB Baseline P2X EE Power 5 6 7 16 4 7 7 218 9 20 Industry 0 59 57 61 60 44 60 81 71 71 Total 5 65 63 77 65 52 67 298 80 92 from Biomass* 0 5 6 6 4 5 6 178 6 14 *Note: CCS with biomass is predominantly used in the power sector in the PRIMES scenarios

🖉 Fraunhofer

Questions negative emissions

- 1) What is the role of LULUCF & Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR) technologies in your country?
- 2) What are the main reasons for the demand of negative emissions in your country? Which sectors are the remaining emissions located in?
- 3) Political perspective: How are negative emissions (in particular CDR, but also natural sinks) being discussed from a political/ social perspective in your country?

